THE VOICE OF DOOM

全们从心里的原理,但是这种的的现在分词是不是是有的现在,现在是一种的现在,这种的现在,我们就是这种的现在,我们就是这种的现在,我们可以可以可以可以可以可以可以可

#89

January 10, 1984

Circulation: 125

by BRUX

I'm afraid I'll have to open this issue with a pair of spologies. This is the mid-monthly I promised with all of your recent (and in a few cases not-so-recent) letters; however, it doesn't contain all of your letters. I fit in what I could, but there is still a whole gallon of stuff left over, so if you sent it and it's not in here. I ask for your further patience. Eventually, I'll get everything caught up. The other matter is a bit more serious. My typewriter broke down shortly before I finished typing VD #87, so parts of that issue and #88 were very hard to read. The typewriter was striking the ditto master so hard that it was punching holes right through it on certain letters. I rectified this by putting a blank sheet of paper over the ditto master as I typed, but the result was near illegibility on certain pages. (I had to retype the last 18 pages of #88 and take them to the grinter, they were so bad. Grrrr.) Alas, certain pages of this issue were typed before the typer finally got itself fixed, so that some of you may have a bit of trouble with the latter col this time around. If you come across any pages that you cannot read, let me know and I'll do what I can. Fair enough? The typer is fixed as I type this, so future issues should not suffer from this ailment.

Circulation here hit an all-time high of 132 with #87, primarily as a result of the fact that the issue was free and therefore went to several people who expired the issue before. It's dropping now and I expect that trend to continue since there won't be any openings here for a looocong time. Speaking of the free issue, one person (Brian Lorber, I think) thanked me for it. You're welcome, Brian. I gover everyone else was too grossed out by the nasal article ...

Since this is kind of a clearinghouse issue, I'm holding out the Deemis of the lear results till noxt time (hopefully) and getting in as many letters as I can. There will be a brief review of BRUXCON and ByrneCon, both of which will probably be written up in more detail elsewhere (such as No Fixed Address and Anduin). Additionally, there will be an article of sorts on some of my philosophies on publishing and GNing, in response to certain people's questions at ByrneCon and some comments that have been published elsewhere (e.g. in North Sealth West George). The questions discussed will be of the sort that I find fascinating and that many others find boring. Oh, well.

The Voice of Doos is a journal of postal Diplomacy published every now and then by Bruce Linsey, 73 Ashuelot St., Apt. 3, Dalton, MA 01226. Phone (413) 684-0567. Subs are 10 issues for \$5.00. Standbys are wanted. There are no game openings, nor will there be any for an awfully long time.

Diplomacy is a registered trademark for a game invented by Allan B. Calhamer and copyrighted by Avalon Hill.

<u>ALLEGA, ENGLISH SANDANG MENGANG MENGAN</u>

NOTE: My correct address is the one in Massachusetts -- I'm just using up some old return addrese stickers for the next two or three iesues ...

Um, ignore the note at the bottom of page 1, which was typed up several five before this page. As it turns out, this issue will fly without an envelope due 13 13 size (24 pages). An envelope would up the postage cost by 17¢ apiece. All U.S. subberawill receive this issue maked.

I need some more volunteers for my standby list, rather desperately. If all of my players were to resign an masse right new and be replaced from the standby list, and then all of the standbys for the departed standbys for the departed standbys for the original players were to quit as well. I'd be out of luck as there would only be two or three standbys left on the list. Help out your poor CM. Sign up for the standby list today? Who knows - the position you have from Civil Disorder may be your own.

Joan Extrom, Rt. 1 Box 26W, Philomath, OR 97370 (phones (503) 929-3223 has asked as to mention that if you're the type of player who enjoys picking up the intile bits and pieces of your excally, you may want to attend LeperCon at her house on March 16-18. LeperCon — the only hobby gathering where you can truly give your apponents the finger. That he careful about bending your ally's ear too much...

You. I just realized that the BRUNCON and Byrnellor writers have to fin on 1122 page. PRUNCON was pretty wild. Attendess included Brian Lorder, Steve Human (from Canadal). Eric Yane, Nike Barno. Jim Makuo, Ted Furey. BRUN bimself, and the Hare's terrible face, all the way from California. We spant a fair bit of time charing his Boatles songs from the play in VD 788. but unfortunately were drowned out the same atrange counds exitting from Brian's throat. Play one Dippy game, which would in 17-way draw. Your humble editor, an Turkey, opened with A Con-Smy. A Say, For. F Ank-Goo and still made it to the end-game. Now, if the game had labed 6.11 104...

Played a Rick game in which I want all out to sipe Sric Rame's units floor the board. Staged a massive attack which covered most of the surid, and it finally, allow fifteen minutes of furious fighting boiled down to my last possible attacking unit against his last possible defender. We being the attacker, I had to beat his die wold or lose the game. I rolled a two and nearly died. But my fright turned to conserve instants later. Eric rolled, and the moon reverberated with cries of:

BRAG. YOU ROLLED A ONE!

The celebration continued bolsterously for several minutes, until a phono call from the tenant in the apariment below me reminded to that it was I AM and I a mas trying to sleep. Other than that incident, which Eric will never be permitted to forget, the high point of the con was when the toilet overflowed in the middle of the night and Brian and I went searching for a plunger at 4 in the morning (1). Other find one.) Took the whole gang out for lobster bisque, but no one liked it as well as I did. On well. Brian fell twice on the driveway, which was sheet of low, To nearly missed his train when he left to visit Nelson Helmtomen in Feffalo. Also stabbed me, Steve was one of those brave souls who took my side when we started arguing about the merits of strict OMing (thus ending an anarchy game which I was or the way to vinning), and Eric rolled a one. We're doing it again next year. I do to all better show up or else.

New Year's at Kathy's was exciping, to say the least. I got to give Jom. boardman a ride home one night, thus proving that even conexistent people can drive. Fabort Sachs was there, and provided us all with lots of entertainment. He's a foliar little man who is obsessed with forming committees and spreading the word about how had Walker is not a member of the Diplomacy hobby. Bobly is going to get he a position on the New York Gaming Board, though, so I shouldn't speak too il. Of him. In is ByrneCon was a first for me in that I didn't meet anyone there who i'd never met before. I must be getting old. We didn't even take our traditional measurement through Harlem. Had a semi-heated discussion one night regarding Ching practices. It was pretty much me against everyone else in the room (except for Julie Martin, who surpaised me by agreeing with me on several points) since Steve Nutton need that inter.

The Gossip Column

From Bob Osuch (11/17/83):

Dear Bruce,

Issue #86 was great, but I really didnot enjoy #85 at all. It was way too long. That's what she said. Seriously, I like what I've read, though I still haven't had the time to sit down and finish it.

So who saked you to plug Hoodpecker? I didnot expect you too especially after you read it. I seriously thought about not even sending it out, as I was afraid certain people might be antiended, emong them you, maybe Carvac and Byrne, maybe Berch. But then I thought, what the hell, if they don't like it they can just write me off as an asshole and leave it at that. I don't mind the label. I just want you to know that I meant no harm by it. In fact, the only negative feedback so far was yours. Everyone else said they loved it.

Ney, Joan Extron, I was merried for two years and I don't think it's a safe assumption that marriago, ... oh, never sind, those were miserable days, and I guess even I got

laid back then. Just not by my wife.

Bruce, I think you're on to scaething. What a fantactic discovery! Inegise, friends, now you too can avoid the grave threat an earthquake poses by simply hopping in the shower. Take it from HMM, you'll be safe there. I can see it now. The tremore begin, and suddenly whole families pile into the shower, and not a minute too soon! As the house erumbles eround them, they frolic in their asylum. "Could you scrub my back for me please, father?" Just one question. How does one know when it's OVET?

It^os nice to see you and Kathy are friends once more. I expected as much, since you changed your editorial policy. I know she objected to the controversy in the old <u>VD</u>. She even wrote a pretty good article about same in <u>Retal</u> a few souths back. Pretty soon you and Dick will kine and make up, and then, who knows ... another now subber. Jack Hasters! Hasters for Doomle of the Year! Right on, Bruxeroonie!

Did you hear about the latest study on stress? It concludes that Arisons is a high-stress area, in fact, the west in general. Nevada was #1, I believe. Shit, I moved out here to escape the hustle-bustle of city life, now I have to move again-I've been unable to sleep nights since I heard. Do I cound uptight to you? I know I feel tense now. You know the feeling; your hear's in your throat and your gutos tled in a knot. Man, where did I put my valium? I know they're around here someplace. Мопессе

I have mixed feelings on the reverse discrimination issue. I agree that the repression existed and was unjust, but the idea that firture generations should pay for the mistakes of their ancestors doesn't make some at all. Also, on the occupational level, it is a dangerous policy to offer preferential treatment to minorities. In theory, when two equally qualified persons apply for a position and one is a minority, the job goes to the minority. In practice, what happens some eften than not is that the minority, for whatever reason, is less qualified but is given the position anymay to extinty a quota. Does it make sense to have the less competent rule the competent? It's like the old joke about the retarded black squam, but I won't get into that. I suppose I've bent your ear enough for one letter. Have fun at ByrneCom. I often wondered why Caruso never got any billing, but I guess if they called it CarusCom

people would go to Judy Carne's house instead. Coughlan would leve that.

I guess you're not a dick. Bob

((Coming from you, that's truly a compliment. Thanks.

I don't recall giving you any "megative" feedback on your fake, other than to say that fakes generally are in overshundance and Woodpecker didnot stand out above the rest. And the quake is over when the curtain falls.))

DERK BELLOSD

After three days of Sedionted reading, I finelly wated my way through all million and one pages of the anniversary lesue of VD. I couldn't have made it, were it not for the excellence of the writing. I was particularly impressed with the subsissions of Rd Brobel, and I enjoyed every one of them. I also liked John Kader's account of his trip to Foru, particularly so because it was circler to my our vacation to Guatarala a few years back. Sometay, I may write of that little trip.

There were so many things I found to domest on, that this will and up being a

rather lengthy letter. Oh sell, I'm sure you wen't mind.

I am antounied when I read of people who believe that the white makes of today must pay for the sine of white makes who came before them. Of course, I don't see any of these righteens souls offering to give up their jobs or their means to sin the cames of righting past injustices. When will people learn that one arong is not courseted by widing some arongs to it? Who can may that because of the rike of some long-dead refricts and some present-day projudiced jorks, that I sust pay?! How can anyone justify this insentty?

I am the most unprejudiced person I know. I could document some proof but I^0 m not soing to, so just take my word for it. I have soon both sides of this issue, and case many empty on both occurs. I^0 ll explain my situation and let you all see thy

I signt be a trifle bitter.

My wife, as some people know, is Spanish. To be more specific, shows of Gustamalan descent. She has nowed at Amore for 16 years, occupaned to my 11 years. She is a great number, almost unrivaled, in fact. Her performance is up to a point that mas once considered unstrainable. Her remard for her excellent work has been being passed over for three promotions that cent to two men and a white female (who happened to be securing the boss) with 7 years loss seniority with Amore.

Then came "affirmative action". Federal investigators came to check us out, and lo and behold, they found an almost perfect morker having her career being raped by the company. They checked further and told my wife that amends would be made. The company elatited that havid was wronged, and the Fede and Amero set down to determine box to right the group. One investigator confided that she would receive a promotion

(such deserved) and a few themsend dollars.

A furny thing happened, though. Jimay Carter lost the election to Ronnie-boy, and suddenly the investigators vanished, the case was closed, and Farti received nothing. Nothing? Here was a case where an injustice was being corrected, not through further injustice, but by righting the wrong. That hind of affirmative notion left with Carter, but quotes remain. (What I call "negative action".)

I leave Marti's ones where it remains today, and begin my story. Hy career with Amono progressed well, with good release and promotions coming my may rapidly. Although my work didn't change in the office, the rating I received for it took a turn for the worse about 3 years ago. Coincidentally, that was the time when a new supervisor took

ever. He was black.

I have two co-workers who do the same thing I do on the same shift. One is black and one is a white girl who lives with a black man (yes, they are engaged). These two co-workers had just been proposed into the job we now all do, while I had three

years of experience at the time our new supervisor took over.

In 3 years my career has suffered setback after setback while oddly enough, my co-workers outlook has brightened. The black guy I work with (who happens to be a good friend) is and always has been mistake-prome. My female co-worker and everyone else in the computer room were shocked when they found out this guy was being considered for presention while I was not. My black friend was equally surprised that our female oc-worker was being considered as well, and not so. Notither one of them got the job. I was considered the favorite by the rank and file of the computer room until it was discovered that I wasn't being considered.

Recently, the Gredit Card Center has been feeling the economic crunch and as a result our two centers are combining. This has caused some shifting around of personnel since we have almost 2 of every position available. (Some people are not moving here from Raleigh, though, which makes some jobs safer.)

Well, when it came time to fill the slots in my area, my two co-workers survived. I did not and I will soon be demoted. The worker who took my spot? Oh yeah, she's a girl that was promoted less than a year ago and who presently serves as a backup to our

area. Six years on my job meant nothing.

Amoco has a policy now to "treat all employees fairly regardless of race, color, or creed." You, they have their quotas. I have a few friends who are in management that confirm that "unofficial" quotas are indeed in effect. I am presently filing a protest with Amoco and considering legal action because no. I do not feel that me and my family have to suffer because of someone else's warped, asinine sense of justice. If more people shared that idiotic ideal that makes me pay for my father's mistakes, who knows, we might even return to the days when the family of a criminal suffered the sense fate as he. Perhaps we could see indentured servitude make a cometack, where descendants would remain enslaved until a debt was settled.

In answer to Mark Lew's assertaon that one must wish to be black to convince him of discrimination against whites...bullshit! There is no logic to that statement at all! If God were to come down and tell me he was going to make me black, I'd accept it and my carser would skyrocket. But I am what I am and not wanting to be something else

does not change the fact that I'm paying for someone else's mistakes.

This is one of the few things that I⁰ve disagreed with Berch on lately, but he⁰s got a long way to go to convince me that I must suffer in this manner. His comparison to a race is close, but still off base. My forefathers won their races already, because of their skill or other "horses⁰" handicaps. This is no longer the same race, though, Mark. Every generation begins a new race, and you advocate giving me more weight in the middle of my race. If I⁰ve got a lead, it s because I earned it. Who among you can tell me I didnot?! Enough, though, on this lamentable topic.

On season separation requests, I love the idea that Mark Lew attributed to Cheesecake that players must submit the most likely retreat results and their orders based on them, and a separation request would be granted only if something also arises. I suggested to Ron Brown a few months ago that no vote on a draw proposal should count as an abstention. Someone proposed the same thing somethers in VD, but I can't find it (wonder why?). To detail it further, if no one votes, the proposal fails due to lack of interest (better in that respect than MYR*yes). A GM may add an additional restriction that ½ of the surviving countries must vote yes. Of course, one "no" would still shoot it down.

Moving right along, the military and U.S. involvement have received a lot of presslately. I'm not going to comment on that now, but I would like to may comething about a related topic, that of our new "cophisticated" weaponry. American technology has always been superior, but converting that into thipps that work seems almost impossible.

I am sickened by private contractors who milk out government contracts for all they re worth and in return deliver an inferior quality product. The Hi tank, the C-130 cargo plane (or whatever it is), have both been shining examples of effectiveness

losing out to sophistication.

What good will these weapons or transports do us if they won't work in battle? We have tanks that can go 100 miles or so before refilling, cargo planes and fighters that spend half of the time grounded for repairs, and many other "technological marvels" that are simply ineffective over the long haul. All of this for the pursuit, by unscrupulous businessmen, of the almighty dollar. If you ask me the average person in this country has more to fear from hig business than it does from the Soviets or any outside aggressor.

If this country ever falls, you can bet that business will be behind it. The longer Republicans like Reagan stay in office, the stronger business gets and the

closer we got to a completely hardness-desirated existence. Government "of the people" may seen be a thing of the past...

Finally, Garry Healin is a great writer! I have always felt this and his headrons story about putting "sees oil" in a truck was morely confirmation of what I know.

That's it for new. Keep up the good work, BILK! Issue #85 of Voice of Doce will long be resembared by same as a best ever in the history of the hobby.

((Whos, there? Awright, it was decent, but let's not go overboard.

You have every reason to be bitter, and to take action, ever your treatment at Amooo. I agree with your views on this matter a hundred per cont.

As for the situation regarding defective or inferior U.S. military equipment, let's put sees some blass where it belongs. If the government is milling to accept inferior measure, then it's hard to blass businesses for selling it to. So suppose Honest Jos runs business X and subsite his company's bid to Uncle Sas, taking into account what it will cost his company to produce good equipment. Does he get the contrast? Of course not. The government chooses a lower bidder; one who will make themp equipment cheaply.

I don't have the alightest idea of what I'm talking about.

The solution you mention regarding separation requests is all right, though I prefer the may I have it now. As for Garry Hamlin, he's right up there ement the most entertaining writers in the hobby. I just wish to could see a little more of his work, more often.))

The following letter was sent to Alex from Greg Ellis. It is printed here with Alexos and Gregos permission, slightly edited:

Doer Alox.

I have been intending to write you for quite some time now, but school and work must come first. I have always been improved by your writing, but nover so much as the column in the amplyerancy issue. As a teeneger, I suppose that you would quite naturally look up to the "grown-ups" that you know as emaples of what to be like. Your reference group was greatly expanded through the bobby, and now you are "disappointed and distillusioned" greatly. Can't say as I blaze you. I too became disappointed and distillusioned" greatly. Can't say as I blaze you. I too became disappointed and distillusioned" greatly. Can't say as I blaze you. I too became disappointed and distillusioned. Everywhere you go you will find the game players, and I don't mean Diplomacy. Americans are generally in good shape; we don't have to worry about where our next seal is coming from, we have vory little chance of being inveded, and we enjoy the highest standard of living in the world. I guess that home seplens are break as problem solvers, and in the absence of real problems they make up some. That is what I believe has happened to you and Ernes and the others. Scenars had nothing better to do with his time than to talk about someone else, and a problem was invented.

Now I don't know the entire story behind the problems with you and ((some other people)), and quite frankly, I don't care. But I do think that your reaction isn't the proper one. You have said that you enjoy writing. May are you allowing seasons else to take that joy away from you? I have very such enjoyed reading what you wrote. Why must I be deprived of my joy because of a third party?

You are obviously nature for your age, and probably nore nature than most of the hobby. You will find this sort of bicksring, backstabbing and politicking overywhere you go. Will you always react the same way? I hope not. If you enjoy writing and seeing your words published, then do it and down the rest! If you no longer enjoy writing, then quit and down the rest! What you do in this life is up to you, so don't let ANYBODY tell you what to do with it!

I fallow some very misple policies. I am as completely homest as I can be at all times; I think before I act; and I always do what is best for me, because I can never

figure out what is best for anyone else. Hy wife and I have one of the best relationships I have ever seen, and for one reason: we can be honest with each other. So many people play games with each other. Some even believe that they can help another person out by lying to them. I consider syself to be an intelligent person, but I am not smart enough to decide astterm for someone else. I give them all the facts as I see them and let them decide for themselves. This doesn't always work, but the other way rarely ever works.

I also follow these policies in my game-playing and letter-writing. The only thing in your last column that disturbed me (other than the fact that you intend to quit!) was the comment to the effect that you trust certain people whenever you write to them personally. If I read that correctly them you are writing things to people you have never set, and trusting them not to pass along what you have said. What do you say to these people, that truly needs to be said, that you wouldn't want someone else to find out? I would suggest that anything that you would be unwilling to have published shouldn't be said at all.

I really didnot mean for this to be as preachy as it is turning out to be. It was meant to be encouraging and full of praise! I will try harder next time. My friends down here have to put up with my preaching every day, so be glad that you live in New York.

If you do decide to write again, I would be most interested to hear your response to the latest hunting article. I found it very hard to argue with. Did you know that they do the same thing to Elephants in Africa? I have always liked Elephants, which probably explains my Republican Leanings, and I notually saw 50 or so killed on "Believe It or Not" the other night. The show came on right before "The Day After" and I was more upset by the Elephants!

Well, I have to run. I hope this wasn't taken the wrong way. If you have ever heard the song "Garden Party" by Rick Relson then you know what I am trying to may. I am sending a copy of this to BRUX for the sine. If you do or do not want it printed, just let him know. I would like for some of the people out there who really need to see this advice to see it, and I know that my tone was quite a bit softer with you than it would have been had I written them directly. Explaining their behavior to you comes out better than explaining it to them. Take care, and I hope we all get a chance to say we're sorry before it's too late we but then again, we all have so such to be sorry for!

One closing thought: usall minds speak of people; average minds speak of things; great minds speak of ideas.

((Alex received a large number of responses to her last column, many of them very nice like this one. A common thread ren throughout most of the latters she got: write again if she mants to. And that show it should be. YD mill always welcome Alex's Column if and when she mants to write it. It was always one of my favorite parts of the mine. I have discussed this with Alex, and although she hasn't the time to write regularly any more, she is not ruling out an occasional article for us.

Actually, I don't agree entirely with everything Greg said. We do not exist in a vacuum; other people can and do affect our enjoyment of certain activities. In real life we must sensitizes put up with people or situations we dislike. In a hebby such as ours, if people sake it unenjoyable, we can leave it. It's easy to say "dann the rest" --- but it's not always so easy to do.

Gregos advice about trusting people whom one has never not is very wise. There are very few people in the hobby that I have never not, and would trust with my personal secrets. In fact, there are very few that I have not whom I would so trust. Alex herself is a very trusting person (probably because she is very trustworthy), and I think that she and many others would do well to head Gregos words on that point.

Alex thanks (and so do I) all of the people who were kind enough to reply to her last article: Peter Ansoff, Fred Davis, Eric Kans. Jim Williams, And Steve Knight, to name just a few. And my thanks to Greg for letting me print this.))

Dear BRUX

Gotting your issue 83, as well as the VD Reader Response Poll. I found enough reason to write you again. I know you've asked for a quick response, and I'm sorry I didn't make that. Well, you see. I only got your VD 83 in October, and by then I was heavily studying for an exam. But thank God I've done that one now, so time to get involved in Dip again.

Now before I say a few things about the poll, first let me explain the picture I included. It's not a good one, but practically the only one with we in it that I have a negative of, and it is appropriate since it show a few other players as well. Okay, let me first tell you who is me in the picture. I'm the one with the blue Boty Music Tethint. This picture was taken last February. We have official championship matches every year. This year we had two "semificals", with twenty-eight people taking part both times, from which two times three players qualified for the finals, to be held December 17. The first continuis ware in Groningen in February. He used a place relied "The Walrus", which is kind of a her where all kinds of mini games are played, like cheen, draughts, Go, and Miglomany. That wer a great environment! He played both on Saturday and Sunday and the three people having secred best qualified for the finals. Now the picture shows thom, as well, as lost year's champion, who automatically qualifies. I'll give you the mapes: 2ron left to right you see Rob van der Burg, Teijo Doornkory, so and our defending champion Jan Foringa. Lest menth the other contiinals were played, so now it is well-known the will be playing the finals in December. The finals last year, that I was lucky enough to qualify for too. meant to as the best face-to-face gene I've over played in even though I only case in sixth. Apart from this competition, which is nice, I particularly like the atmosphere that there workends distinguish. And I am apparently not the only one who thinks so, since besides three weekerds for the actual championship there are being organised neveral "come" as well. That definitualy is a big adventage of living in a country as small as the Metherlands! You only have to drive for at goot three hours to get whorever you want to go. Next menth we are organizing a con again, and we are going to try to play a variant in which fourteen players play one game. Complee are formed to command one country. That means you have better possibilities to regotiate, but also that you have to agree with your partner on what moves to make! I'm very curious how that seeing to be. In the past we have also played the stab variant face toface (the variant where only the moves obstructed are visualized on the board), which appeared to be great fun!

Now let me tell you what I think of Voice of Doos. First I'll give an overall impression, then I'll give some comments on a couple of questions on your form. The Voice of Door as a whole I enjoy tremondously! It supplies no with an immense amount of reading-fun. I myself like (as you know) the game of Diplomacy a lot, but am also very interested in any other subject 🥯 well, alasst any other subject, and I feel very much related to the American way of thinking. So what VD expectally provides me with is a chance to road a lot about all that at once, not having to correspond with all those people personally. I guess, by the way, that I've answered question 10.a. with this. ((The question read, "that do you enjoy nost about VD?".)) As far as 10.b. goes let me say that there is nothing I really hate, but things that I donet particularly care for are the endleasness of houserule discussions, GMing discussions, etc. I as interested in it, though, but sometimes it seems to get out of hand, as far as quantity goes. To comment on a couple of questions, let me briefly give you my opinion on those matters. We also have nouserules, quite specific on certain matters, but not that specific. What I consider most important of them is our last rule. in which we put it very clearly that in cases of doubt so are the come that are right. That is of course closely related to our GNing. Of course we do not accept just everything. but in general we consider it in the interest of all players to have a good game. And

to accept late orders may perhaps divinish one's chances of victory, but it is to the benefit of the game (after all, the mante to win by an underlied NHR of one's opponent?). Because of the eforementhouse I would rule A Boh S A Sil and A Sil S A Privilar as correct, however polisting out to the player that he shouldn't do that, ((You've confused so. I don't see anything wrong with those orders either; unless you mean that they imply A Pru-War as well.)) But Bruce, realize when you reed this that I completely excess with you when you say that people playing in VD should know you are strict, and thus cannot hold that egainst you when they're becoming a victin of it.

Thorn is emother natter that I want to comment on, and that is the controversies you are dealing with, or dealt with. It is interesting for no to learn from you Appriouse how it can go. Portugately in the Notherlands so haven't had anything like a "foud" yet. ((Ivo then discusses some of the hobby's fouds in some detail, and

then concludes...)) You all behave like idiotal

Well, lot me finish this letter with zenothing a little nowe "light". My plans for nost year of going to the States again. I great they are poetty less now, wince I will probably go to Borney for a few make, which will be in July, thus leaving me little time to plan emother vecation. But then egain, this year I first booked e vecation in Suitocriand in August, and finally decided (three moins inform leaving...) to fly off to San Francisco in April! Might I do so again, I will probably go to the Southeast, Florida or searthing, The first time I am in the U.S. I travelled execute the for England region, thus passing through Alteny. The highlights of that trip were Acadia Haticani Fark and nost of all, the refting trip I made on Kommubes River, Maine! That year I also visited Hontron! and Quotee. And since I proceed the continent last year, thus seeing the "Wild Wort", and this year I trevelled through the Southwest. I finere that if I am evalue it chould be the Southeest. Thanks for the invitation anyway, and which we you never here, you just might get a phone call ment went that I'm at the Albany Greylaund station ...

Just a last question. As I maid before, VD offers some great reading suterial. One I translate an article from it every new and them, of course making the source

and the writer?

Well, that's about it. I hope all this makes a little sense. As we say in Dutch: "Today I set on my talk-chair."

Keep smiling.

([You me lucky. Today I am on my ditto madiline, and demaged my reproduction equipment. Sure, feel free to translate anything you must from YD into Dutch!

I hope you do manage to make it to the lovely (?) Hartheast when you core to the States. Get yourself to the Pittsfield station and I'll rush might ever to get you? (But if you give us more advance peties, I'll be able to plan to sinu you around.)

I hope you aron't offended by my delethon of your comments on fouds: I'd rather not print that stuff any name and many latters recently have suffered similar fatos... You are correct to cheervo, though, that some of us behave like idlots sometimes.

Thenks for the photo: I immined you such older. It's interesting to how more about the Dutch bothy and its development. Resp. us tuned, please.

Your philosophy of doudlines can load to problems. How late is "OK"? Two hours? Then if your stated deadline is 8 o'clock, your real deadline is 10 and you're deceiving your players to tell them otherwise. Mive elastes? Then you should tell your players truthfully that the descline is 8:05, not 8:00. I'm sorry if it counds hard-assed. but a GH has to draw the line secondare or the rine would be delayed indefinitely, and since the line must be drawn, he might as well tell the players truthfully where. Kind of like some of the states here, who tell you that the speed limit is 55 miles per hours, and then hypomritically let actorists get amy with 56.

Hom. There I so with one of those Ching discussions you don't care for ...))

From Peter Ansoff (11/17/83):

Dear BRUX.

I don't care what everybody says -- it's hard to stir up controversy in the pages of <u>VD</u>. I mean, I bested the mighty BRUX on the subject of houserules, and what did I get? One offhand remark from Eric Kane -- and he ended up agreeing with you!! Guess I'll have to try something else. Let's see, I'm in favor of ERA, legalized abortion, handgun registration, and tax reform, and I'm against school prayer amendments, the exclusionary rule, and tuition tax credits. Any takers?

I would like to stand by for the SIRIUS game. For some strange reason I expect that you may be wrong about it being over too fast.

((Sorry, it's over; the houserules won.))

From Ed Wrobel (11/24/83, excerpts, ellipses expunged):

Dear Brucifer.

I've finally waded through your anniversary issue. I understand all the hoopla. I enjoyed several of the articles. "How Not to Get a Puppet" was very good. I also got a tickle out of the "You Miesed the Deadline" cartoon, Berch on accepting/rejecting articles, your own "Real Publishers Don't...", the "For Better..." cartoon, and the euphemisms. Gary's play was hilarious. Quite flattering to be portrayed as the representative of sophistry.

How delighted I was to learn that I have the fifth seed for Doomie of 1983 "for double-ordering units", for I am a Doomie and I have double-ordered units. It is true that I receive Voice of Doom and that several years ago my orders were disregarded by a gamesmaster for misuse of holding and supporting. Your readers can't write.

I would be remiss to chaetize Ms. Extrom for her rantinge and ravings. It is surprising that she failed to notice my tongue. Further, it would appear that Me. Extrom and I are, to some degree, mates rexually. I (am) a working model of abnormal

peychology.

Kudoe to Nelson Heintzman for hie very cogent commentary on the effects of racial slavery on U.S. economic expansion. The emphasie on "righting past wronge" misses the point of aid programs to blacks. The purpose is to amelicrate the present-day effects of those wrongs both past and present. We are not discussing a laboratory experiemmi, a mathematical equation or a computer program, but the real world of today. Personal prejudice is not the same as institutional racism. Perhaps a different kind of example would be persuacive to those sharing your political beliefs. Let's hypothesize that lily-livered liberals (President Extrom, Vice-president Wrobel, National Security Advisor Walker) take over the U.S. and disarm unilaterally. Then the Ruesians and Cubans conquer the country and enclave the population. They bring in their own to colonize and act as a ruling clite. Americans have no rights; their property is seized; educational and health systems are allowed to deteriorate (except for the ruling clite); your own great-great-great-great grandmother (then a young woman) is raped by a Cuban. There is no legal redrass. You and your ancestors can pass for Latinos.

After centuries the yoke begins to slip some. Americans gain some rights. They can drink out of the same water fountains as a Russian. Their athletes can compete in professional organizations with communiste. Eventually they dare to engage in mass civil disobedience. Many are beaten, maimed, killed but some gain a measure of political power. A few Russians and Cubans (labeled "American sympathizers" by their hard-line colleagues) are persuaded that Americans should not be treated as second-class citizens. Still it seems absurd to integrate schools. The children fight and even if the schools aren't equal, they are separate. Besides, Russians and Cubans have the right to set up their own private schools. It would be ridiculous to bus Russians miles away to attend some dirty, dangerous American school. Why, they don't have snough books or teachers in those schools. And the teachers themselves are Americans, none of whome received training in the best universities. Well -- as an American who

can almost "pass" you gain some influence with sympathetic commiss and rise to a position of power within the American community. But you are a bit radical at times. You maintain that the Bussians and Cubans are wealthy not by virtue of genetic superiority but through expropriation of property belonging to your American ancestors and through a dispropertionate disposition of natural resources. You point out that Russian commissars and Cuban tradespeople are paid much more than Americans, You argue that the failure of Americans to pass the communist party enterme over (and time qualify for higher paying union jobs and slots in the bureautracy) is not a result of innate inferiority but of centuries of oppression. Not only do you advocate equality for Americans, you want a redistribution of national wealth. You want more money for American achools and you want a few Americans sent to the Cuban universities for tree. Even the American sympathicars think you have gone too far. "Unfair!" they charge. "Equality is one thing but you must reverse discrimination and the illegal seisure of the party's assets. Don't you know we are all equal hope in this secialist norman gamatice, committee, but you know that in reality none are now equal than others. You continue to agitate and are assassinated by a lone guessan who has no connection with the secret police. Very sad - if only you had been reasonable. But take heart! Decades later a national holiday is declared in your henor (after Americans riot in the streets to protest the lack of progress on the "racial issue").

((I have a dream that were I in the position you describe, I would not argue in favor of a redistribution of the national wealth and I would not want a few Americans sent to Cuban schoole for free. Having been the victim of prejudice and unfairness, and having seen my ancestors suffer through same, I would not want to achieve equality by inflicting hardships on others, unless those others were the same ones who had brought this unhappy situation upon me and mine. I therefore remain unpersuaded.

Good to finally see you agree that your units were double-ordered, and I'm glad you consider yourself a loyal, screaming Doomie. I knew all along that someone with your obvious penchant for fairness must eventually see the light.

As for anyone failing to notice your tongue, well, that must be difficult since constant motion tends to attract attention rather than deter it.

Enough of this. Doomies, Ed Wrobel is the publisher of the excellently-edited sine Politesse. Perhaps I should take editing lessons from him...))

From Bob Olsen (12/3/83, excerpt):

Dear BRUX.

Olga says that some of the other Nine Lives varieties (particularly the "Sliced Heat in Gravy" series — turkey, beef, veal, etc.) are much better than Seafood Supper, which is only eaten by decadent cats who we been thrown out of the RSM. Also, Special Dinners brand is also coated with the real taste of milk, and is crunchy and will clean your teeth. Like me, she has a missionary seal about her enthusiasms. When I told her you liked cat food, she said...well, never mind.

((I tend to disagree. The Seafood Supper I ate at Byrnscon was passable, though I've had much better. But I'm mildly allergic to Nine Livee and won't touch these days.

I've gotten to prefer dog food, anyway, and was disappointed that none was available at Kathy'e house. Next time I may either bring my own or eat out. Thanks anyway for the advice.))

Dear BRUX:

Received your issue number 85 today.

It was an interesting issue, to say the least. It reminded me of some of the old Kenos, back in the days when I was a college student and using the school's ditto machine. I have a soft place in my heart (or head) for ditto zines, although I don't think I could ever go back to the days when I used that process. It is so such easier

just to take it to the printer and say, "Run it."

Obviously, I haven't read it all, I just glanced through it. I will read it and if need be get back to you with further comments. I didn't know you were doing anything like this. I had planned a large October mailing but my system is a lot different than yours. My last mailing ran around 160 pages but it was divided into four parts: the sine proper, the Feeri Power Pole insert, the IIHOF ((International Diplomacy Hall of Fame)) mailing, the Project Unicorn insert, and, for Burepeans, a special Xenogogic International cdition (double reduction). It took about 125 labels to get the first mailing cut, followed by a 96 label second mailing. The second printing has gone out to about 25-0 people who responded to the IM flyer or whatever.

I don't litered to do anything like that again, for a while. My next big issue probably won't be until 1987 when Xono will be 20 and I will be 40. I haven't done a 100th issue yet either, although I didn't plan it that way. But I'll let Rod get his out of the way first. The feedback to the last issue has been most interesting. I haven't decided how I'm going to handle it. I was going to reprint verbatic all the comments that appeared in the sines and the comments from letters but it would have

taken far too many pages to do it. So, I don't know.

I just finished typing up the Diplomacy Cockbook materials and it will make a nice

little holiday mailing. Some of the recipes are very good.

The January Keno will be such smaller than the last one. I'm hesting EsethovenCon here in two weeks. It will be a fun kind of event but we'll have a few visitors: Meinel is supposed to be here. Tighe said he is coming, and there will be the usual Los Angeles and Southern California people. Then over New Years I hope to get up to Langley's for DafCon. I understand Tallman is coming down from Seattle with a mob, and Gary Coughlan said he is coming from Memphis. So it should be fun. Jim is bringing down some back issues of VD and when he is done and I have seen what he has I'll be in touch with you about getting ahold of back issues for the Archives.

Those are the two big projects on hand. I'm trying to computerize the listing of my Archives. The first stage included stuff from the pre-1972 period and included some 2,000 issues of some 115 titles (not counting my stuff). The next batch is relatively current and includes another 115 or so titles and 1,500 or so issues from the last souple of years. Then I get to start going through the 20 or so cases of junk that I have sitting around. I stumbled over one case the other day when I was running in the closet looking for a copy of SATFD for somebody. I finally get tired of moving it around so I looked inside to see what was in it. There were 250 issues of Grausterk (50-300), issues of other sines starting with G-H. Somewhere there must be a real gold sine. When I finish this stuff I get to start on the stuff that will be coming from Don Miller's family and Scott Marley's collection (which is about as big as mine). Now you see what I mean when I may this will take a year or so.

The other project will sither by the April Kano or a separate book, a collection of some 15-20 variant Dippy games designed by Californians. It includes some of Rodes Imperialism series, some of my older variants, some from Anderson, Naus, and pecule in the Bay Area. In addition I have a couple of new ones. But I ment rushing that project. It's kind of a fun trip.

I plan to do another Peeripoll late this year but other than that I have no plans for any great activities. I do hope to make it to Dallas in July although my stomach rebells every time I think about it.

How do you handle your archives? I'm thinking about doing a IRT ((??)) on that, one of these days.

Can you believe I got my first Christmas card yesterday? Gream...I also got some copies of Boodles Gin ads from New York which I am going to try and doctor into

Doodles ads, just to aggregate Berch.

((From the other letter...)) And finally have had time to read all of VD #85. I have written a response, in the form of an article/librate but it is going to be long, at least five to seven pages (short for me but long for most zines). If you'd like to you can have it for VD. Otherwise, I'll use it as the theme article for Jamuary's Xeno. It should set new records in the hobby for number of people it offends in one article. Snicker...

Of everything in the issue, Alex Lord's most impressed me.

((Well, anyone who feels that may can't be all bad, ch? You share that opinion with me and Steve Knight, at least.

I don't save my old sines; I sell them off in bundles. I've got a (big) box full of them left and hope to sell them all soon.

Your October issue sounds as though it must have been a lot of work. Congratulations.

I doubt if I shall ever again go over a more 50 or 60 pages.

As for back issues of VD, I regret to tell you that most are unavailable, at least at this time. I do have a few that Meinel probably doesnot. Let me know what you need. And good luck with your archives.))

From Eric Kane (11/28/83):

Dear BRUX,

...I'm embarrassed to say that I didn't catch the title of the last issue until I saw that my name was mentioned and then looked up. (VD #60, p. 1) Just for that, I'm going to send you this looms endgame statement to print up and retype. I should win the Doomie of the Year award after this issue!

Well, ByrneCon was a blast but you already knew that. I'll see you over the Christ-

mes holidays, I presume.

Res Walker's article, I wouldn't mind chucking all of you upstate hicks out of New York too. I think that we could separate New York City along with Long Island to form one state, and the rest of you could be another. We would become the Empire State and you could become New Yuk!

Racism? That is almost as boring a topic as religion, which is currently being

discussed in the pages of Anduin.

I don't see how F Kis ((Hol?)) can be construed as anti-French or English. Whenever I play England and F/G sack me (which often happens to me), the German almost invariably opens to Den, to show France that he intends not to oppose his getting Bel. Also, how is assuring Russia Sueden too pro-Russian? The same might be said if France has moved to Pic and Bel ((Bur?)) and is trying to support into Bel? I agree that it is pretty close as to which is more "neutral", but if it kills me, I will get you to change at least one housevule so I pan say I did so!

Your houserules are silly because you are going beyond the spectrum of unbiased adjudicator. As someone once said, the toacher in you has killed the GM. For christakes, I don't play hip to have my orders graded! Of course, if it will help

me win games, who am I to complain...

((Sorry, but I view my refusel to fix errant orders as more "unbiased" then the actions of GMs who change players orders to make them work. You still haven t shown me which houserules are silly, aside from the three or four which are intentionally so. I still view F Kie-Den as more "neutral" than F Kie-Hol, but we agree it solose.

I agree with your proposed division of New York State, but you can also have Westchester and Rockland counties and a small meat store in Rochester.

BRUX :

Thanks codies for the HRs; I have plagiarized from them wholesale in the construction of the HRs for the <u>Mordworks</u>. On top of that, your sine has a good beat, and is easy to dance to, so have \$5.00 for the next ten issues...

I'm enclosing a copy of the <u>Wordworks</u> HRs for you to gloat over; you and I seem to be of a mind when it comes to adjudication — there ain't nothin' to compare with solid bureaucracy to keep one ocvered?

What follows is a letter I've been shopping around for the past month or so, seeking publicity for the now-organizing Diplomacy game on my computer system — the Wordworks. The first game ended last month — France won. There is considerable disagreement within the hobby as to whether e-mail games are "standard" postal Diplomacy. I, naturally, don't see any difference between e-mail and USFO mail, except that e-mail is faster and more likely to get there; however, my use of "nose do Dip" has muddled the waters somewhat — especially since nearly everyone thinks that since players have to call the Wordworks in order to register their moves or (at least initially) contact the other players, that I must be conducting some sort of limited communications, Gunboat-type game. Add to that the unfortunate fact that the first MW game was a largely local affair, and thus would be irregular even if it hadn't been played by e-mail...well, there's a passes of confusion about those games. Foople are starting to come around, though.

You're welcome to reprint freely from this lotter, but please be aware that much the same letter has gone to about ten other sines.

((And then the circular letter...)) I'm writing to you to invite you to subscribe to my new fansine, and to play the new Diplomacy game starting there. The game is wordworks II, abbreviated "WW II"; the mine is well, this will take a little explanation...

I am editor, chief contributor, and publisher of The Wordworks, which I call an "on-line" magasine. It is an ELECTRONIC magasine, published on my home computer and distributed only to people who call my computer with their computer. The sine is published continuously, in that anyone can call in at any time and read the latest publications of The Wordworks; in practice, this means that the content is substantially changed every week or so, but "back issue" material is kept on-line for a considerable period.

The inaugural Mordworks game has just been completed, and I am now busy contacting anyons who might be interested in playing Mordworks II. The subscription rates to the Wordworks are unusuals there are none. All someone needs is a computer, a modem to connect it to the phone lines, and the iwilingness to pay moderate long-distance charges (you can call late at night in order to take advantage of the low rates). Callers to the Wordworks should call (919) 723-5275, at 300 or 1200 band, with 3-bit words, one stop bit, and no parity. After a short introduction, the Wordworks will ask you for your password — if you don't have a password (and a first-time caller won't, of course), then you just bypass this question. If you don't enter your password, the system will ask you for your first and last names, and then will check the list of all registered callers to see if you're known. Either may, you're then "in the system, and able to read the sine. You can write messages to the other players, or to the world at large, using either your real name, your head-of-state alias, or any pseudonym you want to dream up. First-time callers will often use the Help features, to find their way around the system.

When playing the Diplomacy game on the Wordworks, the players will not necessarily know each others' real names. Housevules are available in one of the sections of the Wordworks when you call in, but basically, they work like this: each player, when he/she signs up, receives an official pseudonym along with his/her country assignment; this official "nom de Dip" will be something like "TSAR NICHOLAS" (for the player who

runs Russia), and all official correspondence between the Tsar and the Gamesmaster, and the Tuar and other players, is carried out under this pseudonym. I feel that this affords a certain amount of flavor to the game, and is a harmless affectation; in addition, it prevents groundless fouds. Not knowing the name of the person behind Italy forces a player to respond to the actions of Italy, not the person playing Italy, who may have been an enemy in a different world. . . of course, nothing prevents players from conducting perfectly secure and private negotiations with one another (via private messages left in the message-delivery system on the Wordsorks, or, if

so desired, by sumpling true names and conducting phone negotiations).

Anyone the calls the Mordworks is veloces to comment on the game being played (or on anything else, for that matter); persons wishing to comment on the Diplomacy game may wish to sign up using a pseudonym (like, for instance, THE TIMES) in order to preserve their anonymity when commenting on the Diplomacy game. The institution of "press" is a mall-founded tradition within the "Postal Diplomacy" hobby; after all, whit salf-respecting conqueror of Burope would leave home without a propaganda machine at his back? Press on the Wordworks is completely black; that is, there is no way of knowing there or from which power it originates - or whether, really, the press even originates from a player! Unless a press organ is adopted by a head of state; there is no guarantee as to its origin , and it may even come from a non-player. Press, also, may be "private" - which seems like a contradiction in terms, until the first time you log on to the system and find a message assiting you from the "SWISS AMBASSADOR" warning you of "England"s Foul Intent"...

Once again, calls to the Wordsorks are free; there are no connect charges, and no subscription fees. All callers are veloces, as are any submissions, about anything; the Wordworks does not limit its letter column to discussions of Diplomacy. If you already have a telecommunications terminal, give us a call at (919) 723-5275. If you here questions, or don't here a phone and would like to get a monthly posting of activities from the Nordsorks (there's a charge for that, to cover postage and headle),

just mrite to me: Wee Ives, 500 Lester Lane, Winston-Salem, NC 27103-0130.

((Interested Doonies should get in touch with Wes, or with the Wordworks. I'm very interested in electronic mail Dippy, but I don't have the equipment to become involved at this time. It sounds like an intriguing may to play the game.

My own opinion on the "regularity" of a-mail games, alas, will disappoint Was. I don't feel that e-mail is close enough to "real" mail to justify mating both types of games in the same category. "Irregular" sounds like too harsh a term for my tastes, but some distinguishing factor should be included to separate sensil mass from postal games show they are rated.

Having said that, I can't really think of a fundamental difference between the

two - just call it a radical difference in style.

But to me an even more important question is this; say worry about it? I have always, felt, since entering the holby, that too much emphasis is placed on "regularity" and the rating of games. This feeling came to light some months ago when Ronald Brown wrote in bitterly complaining that Non Horton's lapk of concern had robbed him of a win when Clay and Fang me folded, when in fact he got the win — it just didn't get rated. So I'll say to Wes about the same thing I said to Ronald: if the game is fun and if the winner wins it fair and square, that's that counts a lot more than whether some ofitsider (arbitrarily or otherwise) chooses to give it an "irregular" label. My, I'm vehement on this matter, aren't I? It's intentionally so, though; I think that ratings and rating systems do more harm than good in this hobby. People play the rating system rather than the game. God, I'm getting horribly sidetracked.

I think that we are going to see more and more electronic games being played as me move toward the 21st century, and as more people acquire home computers. While I view this as a good development, and wish to try it seeday, I also hope that true Postal Diplomacy never dies out entirely. Nothing can replace the charm of getting

a good old-fashioned letter - or is it just me that's old-fashioned??))

Doar RRIX.

Satire is such a fragile thing: To make it obvious or point it out ruins it, yet so many people never see it. OK! I GIVE UP! The comment about Henry K. was meant in fun. I hardly think that I would leave the fate of the world in the hands of any man.

To Ms. Extron: Yes, Mr. Wrobel was kidding about the voting restrictions. His letter was one of the most masterful pieces of satiracal writing that I have encountered in a Dip sine. As for your choice of being killed rather than killing, I doubt it. But just for a moment let's pretend that you are not the one being threatened. Let's say, just for argument's sake, that it is Samentha that is being hurt or is about to be hurt. Do you still think that you would react the same way? I admire those of you who think that man (I'd better fix that) human kind is good. I don't believe that; I canot believe that. My father tought me a very interesting view of morality, what would be the reaction if most (but not all) of the people in the world did what I am about to do? If the reaction is desirable then the action is moral. Let's examine some of the fundamental questions under that premise. Killing: if we kill for little or no reason it is obviously wrong. If, however, we kill to protect ourselves, our family, or our property then it serves a valid purpose in society. Killing is is moral, unless done for self-preservation. Stealing: the morality of stealing depends on the chrousstances. If I am starting and have no other way to obtain food, then it is OK. If I am lasy and steal because it is easier, then it is wrong. Running a stop sign on a deserted road in the dead of night; if I do it, and someone on the cross street does it, and we can't see each other, then we will both die; therefore I always stop. I hope and may that Samantha never gets hurt. Who will help her if she does?

Nost of the preceding paragraph was meant to prove a point, not to impune anyone's intelligence or capabilities. I am sure that someone as intelligent and caring as Joan will be a fine parent for Samantha, I just couldn't allow the comment about allowing

herealf to be raped go by.

My wife would like for me to point out that most of the "humor" I submitted last time (annish) was given to me by my wife. I told my wife that I wasn't about to waste space in my letter for such a trivial matter and that she was melcome to write her own letter. (The preceding is an attempt at humor, not just another stupid contradiction. The disclaimer really takes something out of it, don't you think?) ((Yes, I do. People who add disclaimers to their satire really spoil it for everyone and ought to

be kicked out of the sine.)) ((Just kidding.))

The annishs what can I say? Two research papers are going to be late, but what do you care about my grades? Joan's computer article was hilarious, Chuff's "Postage Dues" was great, Ed's truth very Hamingweylah, HIGEL's the same, Flumpher is waird, Meinel is jealous, poll results interesting (broken record?), cartoon on page 98 is unmentionable, "Variations" interesting and will probably inspire some insipid fool with a computer (are you listening, Cupcake?) to try and verify your hypothesis, "Jedry's "Hunting" really proves the point, and the rest is very entertaining. I believe the only solution to the Toady of the Year controversy is a duel. You and Jim Bob head out to the meadow on a cold, foggy agrning, stand back to back with I dueling pistols in hand, take 10 steps away from each other, turn and shoot yourselves. Whoever shoots himself first wins.

Issue #86: I canot make one small comment without Rod Valker turning it into all entire article! Why does he sound like he is arguing with me when he is really agreeing? I thought it was common knowledge that California was going to divide into two states: everything mest of the San Andreas fault and everything east of it. The eastern half will be called California, the mestern half "Atlantia".

"Their are three errors in this sentence." Puts your brain into a continuous loop, doesnot it?

Cupoake was right. I couldn't care less.

The infamous "Doomie of the Year" contest! My first one! Great, another project.

Oh well, who wants to graduate anymay?

Since the major topic of conversation seems to have shifted to Affirmative Action then I will confine comment to that. Too political indeed! One can never be too political. The problem began, as Welson so elequently pointed out, far back in American history. The solution will occur sometime for in the future. That makes us the ones nost responsible for starting that solution. Rod's comments are entirely accurate. I don't think that he meant to say that the inner-city dwellers were holding us hostage as much as he was attempting to point out that theirs in a particularly frustrating cituation, which will lead to violence. We have to get these disadvantaged people educated, one way or enother. I would prefer to educate them on more fundamental levels, like high school. Doing so would require no scholarchips or even have to mention race (please note that I haven't mentioned it yet, as I think the problem of perpetual poverty transcends the color barrier). The federal government should channel a lot of money into the local school systems. The problem with that is that the Fed can't do anything without attaching strangs, You people want money for lunches? Well we get to set the memus, regardless of the fact that one national semu is a ridiculous concept, and that we don't have any idea what children in your area like to eat. What good are not innohes that are never eaten? I read an interesting fact the other day: the two richest, best school districts in Texas take pride in the fact that they don't accept any federal aid, even though they could. They don't want the strings. The nation was based on the presise that we are all exected equal, not that equality is the ending soel. But are we all created equally? In the child born in Watts given the same opportunities as the child born in Narthans Vineyard? Not now: probably never. It is our duty as a society to try to equalise the opportunity for a quality education.

Love Greg

((When we equalise by helping the poor catch up to the fortunate, that is fine. When we take away from the fortunate to accomplish this goal, that is wrong.

The western half called "Atlantia"? Speaking of three errors in a sentence... the San Andreas Fault does not divide California in helf; it merely slices off a thin and tiny fraction of the southwestern part of the state, Atlantis was (supposedly) in the Atlantic Ocean and not the Pacific, and the oceann ballef that part of California is going to slide off into the occan is impossible from a tectonic standpoint, since all of California is continental crust, not ocean crust. I may not know politics that well, but your statement rubbed some raw geological nerves in this and scientist.

By the way, that mean't Joan's crticle; she just submitted it. It was printed, I believe, in the computer segments <u>interation</u>.

Your counterexample to Joan's statement that als would not kill even to defend herself against rape is a good one. I think there are very few people in this world (and Joan is not one of them) who would let their child die rather than kill the attacker, given a hard-and-fast choice between the two. I personally believe that killing is wrong, yet I would do it to protect myself, my family or close friends, or my country. In those situations it would become the lesser of two evils.))

From Ken Peel (11/29/83):

Door BRUX:

As you have undoubtedly noticed, I am sending you an almost final version of World Diplomacy IV. There will be a few more changes in the air force and construction center rules, but this is basically it. Mark Stegman (school address MIT Econ. PhD program, 15 Datrmouth St., #2, Somerville, MA 02145) and I will be entering WD into the regular hobby through a demonstration game in Politeens. Campi Yes, in a mine (formerly) dedicated to PIF play! The game itself will be run directly from Mark, who will GH by flyer on three-week deadlines. Ed Brobel will be playing, as will several of our regular WD players. Among others, I am specifically asking if you would like to play. With only a slight delay, the game will also appear in Politeene with "expert" commentary by yours truly, and a hot game of bourse. If you can't play, parhaps you know of others as worthy of this great honor. Not laying it on too thick, I hope... In any case, isn't it about time to get your fingers back in the honey pot?

If you keep letters on file, pull out my first and you'll remember that a group of us have been playing WD by sail since 1978 totally unaways of Dipdom at large or the existence of any other Dip variants. The only common roots WD has with any other

variants are in regular straight-up Diplomacy itself.

((I'm flattered by your invitation, though I'll have to decline because I'm overgatended in the hobby now. However, I'll bet there are Docales out there who night be interested in trying KD, and anyone reading this who is so interested should write to Ken Peol at 8708 First Ave., #1-2, Silver Spring, MD 20910. It looked intriguing, although I personally prefer the simplicity of regular Mp.))

Pron G.E. Blender, U.S. Postal Service, Bleosington, IN (11/3/63):

Dear Mr. Linsey,

So you thought you could get amy from us, did you?! Leaving Albany as you did was cortainly olever on your part, but it didn't take us long to track you down. You'll have to run farther than Massachusetts. Mr. Linsey, to escape the arms of the U.S. Postal Service.

It was no difficult that discovering your new hidsout. We serely followed the Krasy Enveloped to your new residence, traced other sail emanating from various other members of your tidy little betworks. Mr. Herch, Mr. Walker, Mr. Kleiman, Mr. Afflerbach, Mr. Wrobel, etc., etc., to mane but a few. We had even noticed that mail trucks leaving mestern Hasmachusette more running considerably overweight as of late. We even asked your mother, who 🥧 without hesitation 🛥 gladly informed up of your new address and telephone mader. He'd have phoned you, Mr. Linsay, to set this matter straight, but

after all, we are the U.S. <u>Postal</u> Mervice. To get to the heart of this astter, Mr. Linesy, we must ask that you immediately cease publication of the "nex". "nevised" - whatever you prefer to refer to them as -houserules. Why is the U.S. Postal Service concerned about such an insignificant and trivial matter as the <u>Voice of Door</u> houserules? Frankly, Mr. Linsey, it's a matter of economics. The U.S. Fostel Service stands to lose a lot of revenue if your houserules lose their bulk. Our experts estimate that the "pan", "improved" ("Improved"?! He don't think so!) howerules will require but 37s postage - yes, even for Mr. Armswoodien - to send to your loyal followers. Of course, Mr. Linesy, we're not including the envelope and printing couts, but then, we're not making any of that money. But, mountheless, the U.S. Postal Service may loss several million dollars in the make of this development. And, Mr. Lipsey, need us reaind you that you may be liable for criminal prosecution, not to mention the enforced stoppege of any mail you might send or receive?

More importantly, however, Mr. Linsey, the U.S. Postal Service may again be forced to raise postage rates, all because of your disregard of our marnings. The previous enforcements would same relative to the guilt experienced knowing that you, Mr. Linsey, are single-handedly responsible for this next postage increase.

The U.S. Postal Service has spoken. Here a good day,

From Ton Swiders

H1 BRUX!

Dalton, MA? That's as obscure a place as Endwell. Well, it's inevitable, but it has to be said... I HATE COBOL! It'e obsolete. It's too wordy. Has no advantagee. Makes PILOT look good! Well, I suppose companies who sink millions into a system

want their money's worth.

One thing I've been meaning to mention is about <u>VD</u>'s favorite topic of hunting. Though I haven't experienced the hunt, these who have enjoy it. It almost seems wrong to condemn semething without having done it before. To those of a rural upbringing, hunting is quite acceptable. I know three hunters: my Dad, Keith Mercer, and Carl Russell.

On the way back from MaryCon Carl, Jeff Bohner, Tom Mainardi and I were bull-ehitting and I mentioned this topic. Tom, being a city boy, and Carl were figuratively at each other'e throats. Jeff sort of sided "pro-life" and I was neutral. (I won't make claims till I've experienced hunting.) I did feel sorry for Carl; it was as if he was guilty of doing a "terrible" deed, even though he has always been told that hunting is the norm.

Have you ever heard of the "Survival" game? You know, it'e where you pair off into two teams and try to capture the enemy's flag, while shooting the enemy with paint

gune?

Black holes? I only wish I had enough time to write lettere. I felt I owed you one; it's sort of odd how things like friendshipe can get misrouted. I'm really glad you and Kathy are talking again. The "violous circle" (quoted from Common Sense for Hard Times, not Jeff Noto (!)) has completed another circuit. Hopefully, a lesson has been learned somewhere...

I will see you at ByrneCon in a week. I'm bringing Civilization and Titan along with my FTF Final Conflict map/pieces. Maybe I can get you to like my rectangular

"sea boxes"; if not, I'll nuke you! (-)

Ie Albany as bad a place as I've heard? Sort of like Binghamton; ite major industry is government, right? A friend of mine who went to SUNY Albany mentioned something about a "bi" trying to pick him up in front of a public water fountain downtown. Barno said jobs aren't too good, and you left to prove that point.

Turns out I'll be shuffling off to Buffalo next fall to keep Nelson in line.

Albany sounds too much like Bingo for my tastes.

ECLIPSE was the only other VD 18-center win. It was a fun game, so I couldn't forget it. Are you sure Dave Kleiman isn't a Dave Claman pseudonym?

You might mention to Jake Halverstadt that GDW just released their game on US Presidential elections. Don't know much about it yet...

PS. GAAAAAARGOYYYYYYLLLLLEEE!

PPS. John Kelley?!? I thought he died and went to college.

PPPS. No PPPS.

pppps. I play in a game in the British hobby. People in the British hobby write even less than moet N.A. dippers.

((And in my experience, that's not a whole lot! Glad to discover another Doomie with European ties.

OK, I was wrong. Somebody besides me did remember Dave Kleiman's win in ECLIPSE. Personally, I thought it was a pretty dreadful game. The only good part about it

was my postal flying dutchman. (-)

Albany's not a horrible city; there aren't too many bad sections and there are plenty of good pizza placee. I remember a while back when Eric Kane, who rolled a one, came up to visit and he and Alex and I spent an afternmon exploring the South Mall in the downtown section. There's a lot to do there.

I recently read an article somewhere about "Survival", and it sounds like fun. So those who have experienced the hunt have enjoyed it, eh? Deer me, I doubt that opinion is unanimous...))



BRUX Speaks

In Response to Questions Regarding His Ways of Running Things

Several queetions have recently been posed to me, or comments directed toward me, concerning some of my publishing and GMing philosophies. Discussions of this nature have always faccinated me (although much of my readership undoubtedly finds them boring and pointlase), so I'd like to reply to a bunch of these questions and commente in one fell swoop, using an interview-type format. Hopefully, some of you will be angered/inspired enough by what you read to send in your opinions for the Gossip Column. It's been too long since we've had a good rules discussion in VD!

Q: Don't you feel that, by GMing as etrictly as you do, you are interfering in the game? Why not give the player a break, especially when his intent is clear?

A: These questions were put to me by Eric Kane, who relied a one. I do not feel that my strict approach to GMing interferes in the game at all. I as a GM have an effect on the game -- and so does every other GM. My style of GMing temis to penalize the carelass players and thereby reward the careful ones, while more leadent GMs do just the opposite.

It is incorrect, in my opinion, to say that the lenient CM is "giving the players a break". Did you ever hear the law of physics that states that for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction? Precisely the same law holds true in the adjudication of Diplomacy games: for every player who is "given a break" by the CM's ruling, there is another player whose position is hurt by it. I would sooner give the "breaks" to the players who earn them through careful play than to their less cautious opponenets.

Finally, and I know that many GMs disagree, I do not view it as the GM's role to determine the player's intent. As one who is handicapped by the inability to read minds, I feel safer just adjudicating what the player wrote down. Ed Wrobel's intent was clear to me when he double-ordered his armies, but double-order them he did -- and that's the way I had to adjudicate it. It was quite clear to me that Mark Duarte's "Fleet England to Brest" was intended as an order for his fleet in the English Channel, but there was only one English fleet in England at the time (it was in Edi), and it could not legally move to Brest anyway. The order failed. I interpret very literally. It is not "wrong", nor is it "interference". It is another perfectly valid way of GMing, available to those who like it and avoidable for those who don't.

- Q: Your houserules are ridiculoue / too long / silly / dogshit.
- As Really? Which ones?
- Q: Why don't you try, just once, running a game without houserules?

A: John Caruso asked this, adding that he'd be willing to try a game under me if it weren't for my houserules. My knee-jerk answer at the time was that I wouldn't be comfortable running a game this way. While this is true, there is in fact a much more appropriate answer.

You eee, the question is rather meaningless to begin with, to anyone who truly understands the philosophy underlying my houserules. If I were to run a "game without houserules", every eingle ruling I would make would be identical to those I make now. The only difference between the two is that with the houserules, the players are informed of these rulings in advance; without them, they are not. Since I cannot see any advantage to keeping the players in the dark regarding the GM'e procedures, I do not see any point to running a "game without houserulee".

It is well to note that my houserules do not lock me into making rulings which defy common sense, as some people (like Peter Ansoff) seem to think. Rather, they merely reflect the rulings which I would regard as common sense anyway. And for the rare,

extreme situation in which my houserules would dictate a ruling which does not coincide with my idea of common sense, I would invoke the "Greater Good" rule and overrule the offending houserule. If I have to do this often (and I won't), it would indicate that the other houserules were poorly designed to begin with.

- Q: Are you GMing for the enjoyment of the players or just yourself? If you do it for the players, then how do you justify a GMing technique which leads to more miswritten orders than would more lenient GMing?
- At Erio Kane asked me these questions shortly after rolling a one. I am CMing to please both myself and my players. Over a third of the respondents to my poll question on this topic prefer that the CM be as strict as I am. The others don't have to play under me. It is not necessary for me to speculate on the reasons that so many players want strict CMing. They do, and I provide to please both them and myself.
- Q: Your letter column is too long and too boring, and contains letters which pat you on the back.
- A: Thanks to Terry Tallman for both criticisms, and to Bob Ceuch for seconding the latter one.

"Boring" is a subjective term. If my letter column was indeed too long, very few people if any would be writing in to it. It is true that I edit less than most other publishers for excess verbiage. But I do edit. Feuding gets the ax as of recently, as do letters that I don't think ere of general interest to the readership. Some etuff like "gimmee a sample" or "here's my sub check" makes it into print, and for that ratter, so do a few of the "Golly, Brucie, that was a hell of an issue" letters. But not by themselves, unless they are written in an interesting manner (see Marc Peters' letter in VD #85 for a very entertaining "here's my sub check" letter). Such comments generally make it into print only as part of a longer letter discussing other, more substantial topics. "Asskissing" gets published, though not just for the sake of publishing asskissing. But it doesn't automatically get the ax, either. A recent latter from John MacFarlane contained a comment about my annish that made me glow with delight. However, the letter contained nothing that I felt would be of interest to the readers at large, so I did my glowing in private. (And had to write this article just so I oculd tell you about it. The lengthe I'll go to...) In contract, I will publish almost any critical letter I get, unless the writer requests otherwise.

I don't like to edit letters too much, and I think most correspondente appreciate knowing that their letters have a good chance of seeing print in their entirely and (usually) promptly. When polled, 72% of those of you who replied said they enjoyed the letter column very much, and almost 30 of you mentioned it as your favorite part of the sine. Perhaps those figures would increase if I edited more, but I doubt it. I am very happy with my current editorial policies, and so are most of you.

Finally (snicker), the same comment that I made regarding my GMing can be applied to my letter column. If ya dasn't likes it, there are other letter column for ya to read...

- Q: You have no business recruiting novices for your games, with the ultra-strict houservies you use.
- A: What an absurd statement, Bob Osuch! What ever gave you the idea that novices are any less able to write correct orders than anyons else? Many, many players got their start in <u>VD</u>. A few of the less careful ones have left for more lenient CMing. Most have been very satisfied. All eix of the players currently in the RIGEL game were relatively new to postal play when the game began as an all-novice game. All six have indicated that they are very happy with the way I have run their game. And all

eix (I believe) are currently playing in other zines as well, so they have a standard of comparison.

I make it a point to mention the way I CM whenever I open games. Novices, and any other potential playera, can give my system a try if they so desire. It is in my opinion very important that a newcomer's first game be run in a prompt, reliable, and fair manner, and ao I shall continue to try and recruit them into my gamee.

A quick note about my "ultra-strict" GMing. Is it really that much etricter than that found elsewhere, or dose it just get more publicity? The "Wrobel Affair" with the double-ordered units got a lot of press for its "strictness", but a lot of other GMs would have ruled the same way: Mike Conner and Brad Wilson (both of whom actually have; you just don't hear ae much about it), Don Del Grande, Ron (Canada) Brown, Ron (California) Brown, John Boardman, Dave Kleiman, Jim Meinel, Paul Ruaterberg, Eric Ozog, Steve Hutton...you get the idea. Hey gang, it's rather crowded at thie end of the spectrum! But I'm getting awfully sidetracked.

Q: What is the procedure for protesting a ruling in a <u>VD</u> game? Why can't a player always have an ombudsman on requeet?

A: The precedure for registering a protest is set forth in my houserules. The player must make his protest known to me before the following deadline. Once this is done, I can reepond in any of three ways:

1) If I have clearly made an error, I will correct it and proceed with the game.

2) If I still feel that I ruled correctly, but find the player's argument at all reasonable. I will go to an ombudemen for resolution. His decision shall be final.

3) If the player's protest is clearly in error, I will explain my ruling and proceed with the game without calling an ombudeman.

Only in situation 2 ie an ombudsman used. Situation 3 is the one on which peopls question me, so let me back it up with a couple of examples.

Once, a long time ago, a player in <u>VD</u> passed through Spain in a Spring turn and moved out in the Fall. He then argued that he should have been given possession of Spain for that year. The player's protest was clearly wrong, so I explained why and proceeded with the game.

In the MILKY WAY game, a player protested that he had vetosd a successful game-ending proposal over the phone. He hadn't. He called for an ombudsman, and I refused his request. Not only would a third party be absolutely unable to determine what had actually been said during this (supposed) phone conversation, but the houserulee in VD provids that there can be no disputing that what the GM heard, wrote down, and read back to the player is what the player said; in other words, you use the phone at your own risk. The player had no case, and the ruling stood, although I ended up restarting the game anyway when all seven of the players agreed to it.

The reason that I feel I can do thie is that I've been GMing long snough to know the rules of the game, and my houserules, quite thoroughly. I would not recommend that a newer GM be too quick to refuse someone an ombudsman; I know that after a year or two of GMing, I was still not always certain of my ground, and in fact I didn't adopt my current procedure for player protests until fairly recently.

A few more words about those situations in which I would refuse to go to an ombudsman for a player: some people may (and do) think that this is unfair; that a player should always have the right of protest. Players who feel strongly about this are advised to play in other zines, some of which conform to this standard. VD does not.

Finally on this point, it has to been mentioned to me that there is some value in calling an ombudsman even when the player is clearly wrong, simply to placate the player. I, however, do not feel it is necessary to placate players in this manner; simply pointing out why my ruling was correct to begin with should suffice. God, I am a hardass, aren't I?

Moving on, once a dispute has been resolved (either with or without an ombudeman), a player who is unhappy with the lutcome does have some recourse. He can:

1) rssign from the game,

2) seek to have the game moved to another GM, or

3) seek to have the game declared irregular by the Boardman Number Custodian.

A request to have the game moved would be made and publicized just as would a draw proposal. If all players voted to move, and reimbursed me for my expensee, I would assist in the transfer so that it would go as smoothly as poseible. To date, no such request has been formally made by a VD player.

Seeking an irregular ruling is drastic, and unlikely to be fruitful since none of the VB houserules extend beyond the bounds of acceptable GMing procedure. The Boardman Number Custodian has given me permission to atats that she does not think that etrict GMing, taken by itself, is actual grounds for irregularity (although her opinion and mine differ radically on its desirability, of course).

The bottom line of this whole matter is that players in VD have got to have no small measure of confidence in my judgement. Players who don't are welcome to...well, you all know what I'm about to eay here, eh?

Q: Your policy concerning multiple sets of orders, some of which are undated or identically dated, is to use only the orders common to both or all of the sets which might possibly have been the last sent. Don't you feel that it would be less harmful to select a set at random, or use some other mechanism for choosing one of the sets, than to (wholly or partially) NMR a player who in fact has orders on file?

A: This question was posed to me at Byrnecon. My amswer is no.

It is the player's job to date hie ordere correctly. If I choose a set of ordere without ascertaining that they are the latest set sent in, I might have the guy stabbing his ally when in fact he had changed his mind about it. The result could be that his board position is hurt much more than it would have been by an NMR.

But that's really pretty much beside the point. The point is that the CM must adjudicate what he is supposed to adjudicate, regardless of the harm done to anyone's board position. What he is supposed to adjudicate is the latest set of orders received — and if this cannot be determined, than the player is out of luck.

Q: An English player orders A Swe-Kie, expecting a convoy from the Russian F Bal. He also orders A Nwy S A Swe, in case the convoy is not forthooming. If Russia stabs and doesn't order the convoy; is the support valid?

A: Thank to Stephen Wilcox, who asked this question in The Little Dipper, a subzine to The Prince. This is more of a etraightforward question on CMing than the others posed in this article.

The support does not succeed because a unit legally ordered to move cannort be supported in place even if the move fails. And the order to move was legal because, at the beginning of the turn in question, a convoy route existed from Swe to Kie. Had no convoy routs existed, the move is impossible and the army is treated as holding, and the support would have succeeded.

A somewhat eimilar question is this: how would I rule A Con-Blg? It's a move to Bulgaria unless a convoy route to Belgium exists, and in that case it is ambiguous. This is so regardless of the nationality of the fleets in the convoy route, or what ordere they actually receive. If furkey ordere A Con-Blg and a move to Belgium is poesible, then the order is disallowed even if Turkey also has F Aeg and orders it to support A Con-Bul!

The underlying priciple in these rulings is that the meaning and/or legality of an order can in fact be determined by the position of other units at the beginning of the turn, but it can never be determined by what other units are ordered to do during the turn. This same principle applies in VD in the resolution of orders containing "Nor" or "North" or "Liv", by the way. A Den-Liv can have four possible resolutions, depending on the locations of fleete at the start of the turn. It could mean a move to Liveria if a convoy route there was present and no convoy route to Liverpool exists.

or it could be a move to Liverpool if the opposite chromatance held true, or it could be illegal if neither route exists, or ambiguous if both do. How's that grab ya?! F Den-Nor works in <u>VD</u>, but F Edi-Nor does not, even in conjunction with F Lou-Nth and so on.

- Q: Why are you stubbornly standing your ground on every single question in this article, rather than conceding a point or two here and there?
- A: I'm not being stubborn. My answers are a reflection of the fact that I've been around long enough to hear all the questions many times over, and decide of which policies I like. If I felt unconfortable about any of my replies above, the rulings would have long since been charged.

Crotchety old hobby fart, I am!

One late note before I close: a noted bobby personality from Rechester, writing in the latest issue of Politesse, has labeled my ruling on the Brobel Affair as "communist". My apologies to all -- I really thought I had made the connect decision, but when respected, level-headed botby luminaries such as Bill Righfield come up with such persuasive reasons for the other side, maybe it's time to rethink my position.

aRU.

